# Chapter 18 Correlation

Correlation is a statistical technique used to examine the **association** between two continuous variables. Unlike regression, correlation does not assume a particular direction to the relationship among the variables, and there is no dependent or independent variable. Instead, there are two random variables  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  that could be related in some way. Correlation may be used to examine the relationship between just two variables, or as a screening tool to examine the pairwise relationships among many variables.

We will use a classic data set to illustrate correlation, the iris flowers examined by Fisher (1936). The data set contains measurements of iris flowers for three different *Iris* species, but we will only examine *I. setosa*. The variables measured were sepal length and width, and petal length and width. A total of 50 flowers were measured, but we will only use the first ten observations to illustrate the calculations, and only sepal length and width (Table 18.1). The notation  $Y_{1i}$  and  $Y_{2i}$  refer to the values for the *ith* pair of numbers. For example,  $Y_{11} = 5.1$  and  $Y_{21} = 3.5$ . Figure 18.9 shows there is a positive association between the two variables, with sepal length  $(Y_{1i})$  and width  $(Y_{2i})$  appearing to increase together.

| i              | $Y_{1i} = $ Sepal length | $Y_{2i} = $ Sepal width | $(Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)(Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)$ | $(Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)^2$ | $(Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)^2$ |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1              | 5.1                      | 3.5                     | $4.56 \times 10^{-2}$                      | $5.76 \times 10^{-2}$    | $3.61 \times 10^{-2}$    |
| 2              | 4.9                      | 3.0                     | $-1.24 \times 10^{-2}$                     | $1.60 \times 10^{-3}$    | $9.61 \times 10^{-2}$    |
| 3              | 4.7                      | 3.2                     | $1.76 \times 10^{-2}$                      | $2.56 \times 10^{-2}$    | $1.21 \times 10^{-2}$    |
| 4              | 4.6                      | 3.1                     | $5.46 \times 10^{-2}$                      | $6.76 \times 10^{-2}$    | $4.41 \times 10^{-2}$    |
| 5              | 5.0                      | 3.6                     | $4.06 \times 10^{-2}$                      | $1.96 \times 10^{-2}$    | $8.41 \times 10^{-2}$    |
| 6              | 5.4                      | 3.9                     | $3.19 \times 10^{-1}$                      | $2.92 \times 10^{-1}$    | $3.48 \times 10^{-1}$    |
| $\overline{7}$ | 4.6                      | 3.4                     | $-2.34 \times 10^{-2}$                     | $6.76 \times 10^{-2}$    | $8.10 \times 10^{-3}$    |
| 8              | 5.0                      | 3.4                     | $1.26 \times 10^{-2}$                      | $1.96 \times 10^{-2}$    | $8.10 \times 10^{-3}$    |
| 9              | 4.4                      | 2.9                     | $1.89 \times 10^{-1}$                      | $2.12 \times 10^{-1}$    | $1.68 \times 10^{-1}$    |
| 10             | 4.9                      | 3.1                     | $-8.40 \times 10^{-3}$                     | $1.60 \times 10^{-3}$    | $4.41 \times 10^{-2}$    |
| $\sum$         | _                        | _                       | $6.34 \times 10^{-1}$                      | $7.64 \times 10^{-1}$    | $8.49 \times 10^{-1}$    |

Table 18.1: Example 1 - Sepal length and width measurements for ten flowers of *I. setosa* (Fisher 1936), showing some preliminary calculations for the correlation analysis. See Chapter 21 for the full data set.



Figure 18.1: Scatterplot of I. setosa sepal length and width.

## **18.1** Correlation model

The statistical model for correlation is the **bivariate normal distribution**. This is an extension of the normal distribution to two random variables  $Y_1$ and  $Y_2$ . The bivariate normal distribution has five parameters, the mean and standard deviation for  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  ( $\mu_1, \sigma_1, \mu_2, \sigma_2$ ) and the parameter  $\rho$ , which describes the association between them (Stuart et al. 1999). If  $\rho > 0$  then the two variables are positively related, as in Fig. 18.9, while if the  $\rho < 0$ they are inversely related. If  $\rho = 0$  the two variables are independent of one another. The probability density for the bivariate normal distribution is given by the function

$$f(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left\{ \left(\frac{y_1-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 - 2\rho\frac{y_1-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\frac{y_2-\mu_2}{\sigma_2} + \left(\frac{y_2-\mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^2 \right\} \right].$$
(18.1)

A interesting property of this distribution is that each Y variable, when considered alone, also has a normal distribution. In particular,  $Y_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and  $Y_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ . These are known as the **marginal distributions** of  $Y_1$ and  $Y_2$ .

Figure 18.2 and Fig. 18.3 shows this distribution as a surface or contour plot, for  $\rho = 0.7$ . This value of  $\rho$  implies a strong positive relationship between the two variables, and so the probability density has a ridge-like shape because  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are likely to increase or decrease together. Fig. 18.4 shows a sample data set generated for the same parameter values of this distribution. Note the relationship between  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  and the elliptical cloud of points.

Figure 18.5 shows the distribution for a strong negative relationship between the variables ( $\rho = -0.7$ ). A sample data set for the same parameter values is shown in Fig. 18.6. Figure 18.7 and Fig. 18.8 show the patterns when the two variables are unassociated or independent ( $\rho = 0$ ).

The usual goal in correlation is to estimate the value of  $\rho$  and then test  $H_0: \rho = 0$ . This null hypothesis means the two variables are independent, and if we can reject this suggests the two variables are associated or dependent. It is also possible to test null hypotheses of the form  $H_0: \rho = \rho_0$ , where  $\rho_0$  is any value.



Figure 18.2: Surface plot of the bivariate normal distribution for  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 5$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$ , and  $\rho = 0.7$ .



Figure 18.3: Contour plot of the bivariate normal for the same parameter values as Fig. 18.3



Figure 18.4: Simulated data for the bivariate normal distribution with  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 5$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$ , and  $\rho = 0.7$ .



Figure 18.5: Contour plot of the bivariate normal for  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 5$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$ , and  $\rho = -0.7$ .



Figure 18.6: Simulated data for the bivariate normal distribution with  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 5, \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$ , and  $\rho = -0.7$ .



Figure 18.7: Contour plot of the bivariate normal for  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 5$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$ , and  $\rho = 0$ .



Figure 18.8: Simulated data for the bivariate normal distribution with  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 5$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$ , and  $\rho = 0$ .

## 18.2 Correlation and maximum likelihood

Maximum likelihood can be used to estimate the parameters for the bivariate normal distribution, using methods like those for simpler distributions. It turns out that the sample mean  $\bar{Y}$  and standard deviation s can be used to estimate  $\mu_1, \sigma_1, \mu_2, \text{and}\sigma_2$  for this distribution. For the Example 1 data set, we have  $\bar{Y}_1 = 4.86, s_1 = 0.29136, \bar{Y}_2 = 3.31, \text{and}s_2 = 0.30714$ . The maximum likelihood estimator of  $\rho$  is the sample **correlation coefficient**, r, given by the formula

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1) (Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)^2}}$$
(18.2)

(Stuart et al. 1999). Note that the sign of r depends on the numerator of this expression. If  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are positively or negatively associated, the numerator will be positive or negative. For the Example 1 data, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)(Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2) = 0.634, \qquad (18.3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)^2 = 0.764, \qquad (18.4)$$

and 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)^2 = 0.849.$$
 (18.5)

Using these values, the correlation coefficient can then be calculated:

$$r = \frac{6.34 \times 10^{-1}}{\sqrt{7.64 \times 10^{-1} \times 8.49 \times 10^{-1}}} = 0.787.$$
 (18.6)

The equation for r can also be expressed using the standard deviations of the two variables, and a quantity called the **sample covariance**. The sample covariance for two variables is given by the formula

$$s_{12} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)(Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)}{n-1}.$$
(18.7)

Dividing the top and bottom of the equation for r by n-1, we have

$$r = \frac{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)(Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{1i} - \bar{Y}_1)^2 \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{2i} - \bar{Y}_2)^2}}$$
(18.8)

$$=\frac{s_{12}}{\sqrt{s_1^2 s_2^2}} = \frac{s_{12}}{s_1 s_2} \tag{18.9}$$

Thus, r can be expressed as the sample covariance  $s_{12}$  scaled by the standard deviation  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  for each variable. This quantity is also known as the **Pearson correlation coefficient**.

The square of the correlation coefficient is called the **coefficient of determination**, and provides an indication of the amount of variability in  $Y_1$ explained by  $Y_2$ , or vice versa. It is typically written as  $R^2$  like in linear regression or ANOVA. The value of  $R^2$  ranges from zero to one, with values near one implying a strong relationship (positive or negative) between  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , while values near zero imply a weak one. For the Example 1 data, we have  $R^2 = 0.787^2 = 0.619$ . About 62% of the variability in  $Y_1$  is explained by  $Y_2$ , or vice versa.

There is also a likelihood ratio test for  $H_0$ :  $\rho = 0$  vs.  $H_1$ :  $\rho \neq 0$ , equivalent to testing whether  $Y_1$  is independent of  $Y_2$ . Under  $H_0$ , the test statistic

$$T_s = r \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1-r^2}}$$
(18.10)

has a t distribution with n-2 degrees of freedom, and we would reject  $H_0$  for sufficiently large values (Stuart et al. 1999). For the Example 1 data, we have

$$T_s = 0.787 \sqrt{\frac{10 - 2}{1 - 0.787^2}} = 3.608.$$
(18.11)

Using Table T with 10 - 2 = 8 degrees of freedom, we see that P < 0.01. The correlation between sepal length and width is highly significant ( $t_8 = 3.608, P < 0.01$ ), and so the two variables appear dependent, not independent.

There is an approximate test for  $H_0: \rho = \rho_0$  vs.  $H_0: \rho \neq \rho_0$ , for values  $\rho_0$  different from zero. It uses a special transformation for r, the inverse hyperbolic tangent function:

$$\operatorname{arctanh}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right), \qquad (18.12)$$

defined for -1 < r < 1. The effect of this transformation is to spread out the distribution of r and make it more normal. Under  $H_0$ , we have  $E[\operatorname{arctanh}(r)] \approx \operatorname{arctanh}(\rho_0)$  and  $Var[\operatorname{arctanh}(r)] \approx 1/(n-3)$ , and so

$$Z_s = \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}(r) - \operatorname{arctanh}(\rho_0)}{\sqrt{1/(n-3)}}$$
(18.13)

$$= \sqrt{n-3} \left[ \operatorname{arctanh}(r) - \operatorname{arctanh}(\rho_0) \right] \sim N(0,1)$$
(18.14)

for large n (Stuart et al. 1999). As an example of this test, suppose we want to test  $H_0: \rho = 0.5$  for the Example 1 data set. We have

$$Z_s = \sqrt{10 - 3} \left[ \operatorname{arctanh}(0.787) - \operatorname{arctanh}(0.5) \right]$$
(18.15)

$$= 2.646(1.064 - 0.549) = 1.363.$$
(18.16)

Using the last row of Table T ( $df = \infty$ ) to find the P value for the standard normal distribution, we find that P < 0.2. The correlation coefficient was not significantly different from 0.5 ( $Z_s = 1.363, P < 0.2$ ).

### 18.2.1 Correlation for Example 1 - SAS demo

We can conduct a correlation analysis using proc corr in SAS. We first input the observations using a data step, and then generate a scatterplot using proc gplot (SAS Institute Inc. 2014a). The correlation analysis is conducted using proc corr as shown below, with the variables to be analyzed listed in the var statement (SAS Institute Inc. 2014b). The ods graphics on and off statements enable proc corr to generate more sophisticated plots of the data, using the plots=(scatter matrix) option (SAS Institute Inc. 2014a). These commands will generate pairwise scatterplots of all the variables, and a scatterplot matrix of all the graphs together.

From the proc corr output, we see that the correlation between sepal length and width is highly significant (r = 0.787, P = 0.0069). The scatterplot generated by proc corr for these two variables is also shown (Fig. 18.9).

```
_____ SAS Program _____
```

```
* Iris.sas;
options pageno=1 linesize=80;
goptions reset=all;
title "Correlation for Iris data";
data iris;
        input seplen sepwid;
        datalines;
5.1 3.5
4.9 3.0
4.7 3.2
4.6 3.1
5.0 3.6
5.4 3.9
4.6 3.4
5.0 3.4
4.4 2.9
4.9 3.1
;
run;
* Print data set;
proc print data=iris;
run;
* Correlation analysis and scatterplots;
ods graphics on;
proc corr data=iris plots=(scatter matrix);
        var seplen sepwid;
run;
ods graphics off;
quit;
```

| <br>SA  | S Output |           |       |           |        | -      |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|
| Correla | tion for | Iris data | ì     |           |        | 1      |
|         |          |           | 09:23 | Thursday, | June 5 | , 2014 |
| Obs     | seplen   | sepwid    |       |           |        |        |
| 1       | 5.1      | 3.5       |       |           |        |        |
| 2       | 4.9      | 3.0       |       |           |        |        |
| 3       | 4.7      | 3.2       |       |           |        |        |
| 4       | 4.6      | 3.1       |       |           |        |        |
| 5       | 5.0      | 3.6       |       |           |        |        |
| 6       | 5.4      | 3.9       |       |           |        |        |

| 7  | 4.6 | 3.4 |
|----|-----|-----|
| 8  | 5.0 | 3.4 |
| 9  | 4.4 | 2.9 |
| 10 | 4.9 | 3.1 |

Correlation for Iris data 2 09:23 Thursday, June 5, 2014

The CORR Procedure

2 Variables: seplen sepwid

#### Simple Statistics

| Variable | Ν  | Mean    | Std Dev | Sum      | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------|----|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| seplen   | 10 | 4.86000 | 0.29136 | 48.60000 | 4.40000 | 5.40000 |
| sepwid   | 10 | 3.31000 | 0.30714 | 33.10000 | 2.90000 | 3.90000 |

Pearson Correlation Coefficients, N = 10
 Prob > |r| under H0: Rho=0

|        | seplen            | sepwid            |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| seplen | 1.00000           | 0.78721<br>0.0069 |
| sepwid | 0.78721<br>0.0069 | 1.00000           |



Figure 18.9: Scatterplot of *I. setosa* sepal length and width.

## 18.2.2 Testing $H_0: \rho = \rho_0$ - SAS demo

We can use a short SAS program to test  $H_0: \rho = 0.5$  vs.  $H_1: \rho \neq 0.5$  for the Example 1 data (see program and output below). The program calculates the *P* value for this two-tailed alternative (pvalue2) as well as both one-tailed ones (p\_val\_gt,p\_val\_lt). We see that the correlation between sepal length and width is not significantly different from 0.5 ( $Z_s = 1.360, P = 0.174$ ).

\_ SAS Program \_\_\_

```
* rhocalc.sas;
options pageno=1 linesize=80;
goptions reset=all;
title 'Test Ho: rho = rho_0 where rho_0 is non-zero';
data rhocalc;
        * Input sample size, rho, and rho_0;
        n = 10;
        r = 0.787;
        rho_0 = 0.5;
        zs = sqrt(n-3)*(artanh(r)-artanh(rho_0));
        * P-value for two-tailed test;
        p_value2 = 2*(1 - probnorm(abs(zs)));
        * P-values for one-tailed tests;
        * Ho: rho = rho_0 vs. H1: rho > rho_0;
        p_val_gt = 1 - probnorm(zs);
        * Ho: rho = rho_0 vs. H1: rho < rho_0;</pre>
        p_val_lt = probnorm(zs);
run;
* Print test results;
proc print data=rhocalc;
run;
```

|   |    |       |       | SAS Output |          |          |          |        |
|---|----|-------|-------|------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Test Ho: rho = rho_0 where rho_0 is non-zero<br>11:03 Wednesday, June 1 |    |       |       |            |          |          |          | 1<br>4 |
| Obs   | n  | r     | rho_0 | ZS         | p_value2 | p_val_gt | p_val_lt |        |
| 1   | 10 | 0.787 | 0.5   | 1.36043    | 0.17369  | 0.086847 | 0.91315  |        |

### 18.2.3 Correlation for *I. setosa*, all data - SAS demo

We now analyze the full data set for *I. setosa*, as listed in Chapter 21. We will examine the correlation between sepal length, sepal width, petal length, and petal width for all 50 flowers. The SAS program is similar to the Example 1 analysis, except that all four variables are listed in the data and proc corr steps. We see there is a highly significant correlation between sepal length and width (r = 0.743, P < 0.0001), and petal length and width are also significantly correlated (r = 0.332, P = 0.0186). All the remaining correlations are nonsignificant. It appears that measurements of the same structure (petal or sepal) are correlated, but the correlation is weaker between structures. The scatterplot matrix (Fig. 18.10 reflects these patterns, with sepal length and width showing a strong positive association, with a weaker one for petal length and width. The remaining pairs show no obvious relationships. \_\_\_\_\_ SAS Program \_\_\_\_\_

```
* Iris_all.sas;
options pageno=1 linesize=80;
goptions reset=all;
title "Correlation for Iris data";
data iris;
        input seplen sepwid petlen petwid;
       datalines;
5.1 3.5 1.4 0.2
4.9 3.0 1.4 0.2
4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2
4.6 3.1 1.5 0.2
5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2
etc.
4.8 3.0 1.4 0.3
5.1 3.8 1.6 0.2
4.6 3.2 1.4 0.2
5.3 3.7 1.5 0.2
5.0 3.3 1.4 0.2
;
run;
* Print data set;
proc print data=iris;
run;
* Correlation analysis and scatterplots;
ods graphics on;
proc corr data=iris plots=(scatter matrix);
       var seplen sepwid petlen petwid;
run;
ods graphics off;
quit;
       _____ SAS Output _____
```

|     | Correl | ation for | Iris data |               |         | 1      |
|-----|--------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------|--------|
|     |        |           | 16:       | 36 Wednesday, | June 11 | , 2014 |
| Obs | seplen | sepwid    | petlen    | petwid        |         |        |
| 1   | 5.1    | 3.5       | 1.4       | 0.2           |         |        |
| 2   | 4.9    | 3.0       | 1.4       | 0.2           |         |        |
| 3   | 4.7    | 3.2       | 1.3       | 0.2           |         |        |

| 4<br>5 | 4.6<br>5.0 | 3.1<br>3.6 | 1.5<br>1.4 | 0.2 |
|--------|------------|------------|------------|-----|
| 46     | 4.8        | 3.0        | 1.4        | 0.3 |
| 47     | 5.1        | 3.8        | 1.6        | 0.2 |
| 48     | 4.6        | 3.2        | 1.4        | 0.2 |
| 49     | 5.3        | 3.7        | 1.5        | 0.2 |
| 50     | 5.0        | 3.3        | 1.4        | 0.2 |

Correlation for Iris data 2 16:36 Wednesday, June 11, 2014

#### The CORR Procedure

4 Variables: seplen sepwid petlen petwid

### Simple Statistics

| Variable | Ν  | Mean    | Std Dev | Sum       | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------|----|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 7        | 50 | F 00000 | 0 05040 | 050 00000 | 4 00000 | F 00000 |
| sepien   | 50 | 5.00600 | 0.35249 | 250.30000 | 4.30000 | 5.80000 |
| sepwid   | 50 | 3.42800 | 0.37906 | 171.40000 | 2.30000 | 4.40000 |
| petlen   | 50 | 1.46200 | 0.17366 | 73.10000  | 1.00000 | 1.90000 |
| petwid   | 50 | 0.24600 | 0.10539 | 12.30000  | 0.10000 | 0.60000 |

#### Pearson Correlation Coefficients, N = 50Prob > |r| under H0: Rho=0

|        | seplen            | sepwid            | petlen            | petwid            |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| seplen | 1.00000           | 0.74255<br><.0001 | 0.26718<br>0.0607 | 0.27810<br>0.0505 |
| sepwid | 0.74255<br><.0001 | 1.00000           | 0.17770<br>0.2170 | 0.23275<br>0.1038 |
| petlen | 0.26718<br>0.0607 | 0.17770<br>0.2170 | 1.00000           | 0.33163<br>0.0186 |
| petwid | 0.27810<br>0.0505 | 0.23275<br>0.1038 | 0.33163<br>0.0186 | 1.00000           |

etc.



Figure 18.10: Scatterplot matrix for *I. setosa* sepal length and width, and petal length and width.

## 18.3 Correlation assumptions

The main assumption of correlation is that the data have a bivariate normal distribution. If the data do not appear to be bivariate normal, it may be useful to transform one or both variables. The same transformations used in linear regression may be helpful (see Chapter 17). For example, suppose that the relationship between  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  appears to be curved (Fig. 18.11). A log transformation of  $Y_2$  makes the overall distribution more similar to the bivariate normal (Fig. 18.12). Once the distribution appears correct, we would calculate the correlation coefficient r and conduct our tests.



Figure 18.11: Simulated data showing a curved relationship between  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ .



Figure 18.12: Simulated data showing a bivariate normal distribution for  $Y_1$  and  $\ln(Y_2)$ .

## 18.4 Nonparametric correlation

There are nonparametric correlation methods useful when the observations are not bivariate normal. One common method is the Spearman rank correlation test (Hollander et al. 2014). This procedure simply substitutes the rank values of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  in the formula for r, then proceeds as before. We are still interested in testing whether  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent, but no distribution is specified.

We will illustrate the Spearman rank correlation procedure using the Example 1 data set. The initial calculations are shown in Table 18.2. We next calculate the Spearman rank correlation  $r_s$  using the results from this table. We have

$$r_s = \frac{62}{\sqrt{81 \times 81.5}} = 0.763. \tag{18.17}$$

If we want to test whether  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent, we can use the same test procedure as before, but substituting  $r_s$  for r. For the Table 18.2 data, we have

$$T_s = r_s \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1-r_s^2}} = 0.763 \sqrt{\frac{10-2}{1-0.763^2}} = 3.339.$$
(18.18)

Using Table T with 10-2 = 8 degrees of freedom, we see that P < 0.02. This result suggests the two variables are not independent ( $r_s = 0.763, P < 0.02$ ).

| i      | $Y_{1i} = $ Sepal length | $Y_{2i} = $ Sepal width | $R_{1i}$ | $R_{2i}$ | $(R_{1i} - \bar{R}_1)(R_{2i} - \bar{R}_2)$ | $(R_{1i} - \bar{R}_1)^2$ | $(R_{2i}-\bar{R}_2)^2$ |
|--------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|--|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1      | 5.1                      | 3.5                     | 9        | 8        | 8.75                                       | 12.25                    | 6.25                   |
| 2      | 4.9                      | 3.0                     | 5.5      | 2        | 0.00                                       | 0.00                     | 12.25                  |
| 3      | 4.7                      | 3.2                     | 4        | 5        | 0.75                                       | 2.25                     | 0.25                   |
| 4      | 4.6                      | 3.1                     | 2.5      | 3.5      | 6.00                                       | 9.00                     | 4.00                   |
| 5      | 5.0                      | 3.6                     | 7.5      | 9        | 7.00                                       | 4.00                     | 12.25                  |
| 6      | 5.4                      | 3.9                     | 10       | 10       | 20.25                                      | 20.25                    | 20.25                  |
| 7      | 4.6                      | 3.4                     | 2.5      | 6.5      | -3.00                                      | 9.00                     | 1.00                   |
| 8      | 5.0                      | 3.4                     | 7.5      | 6.5      | 2.00                                       | 4.00                     | 1.00                   |
| 9      | 4.4                      | 2.9                     | 1        | 1        | 20.25                                      | 20.25                    | 20.25                  |
| 10     | 4.9                      | 3.1                     | 5.5      | 3.5      | 0.00                                       | 0.00                     | 4.00                   |
| $\sum$ | -                        | -                       | -        | -        | 62.00                                      | 81.00                    | 81.50                  |

Table 18.2: Preliminary calculations for Spearman rank correlation using the Example 1 data. Here  $R_{1i}$  and  $R_{2i}$  are the rank values of sepal length and width. Tied values were assigned the average of their ranks.

18.4. NONPARAMETRIC CORRELATION

# 18.4.1 Spearman rank correlation for Example 1 - SAS demo

The Spearman rank correlation and tests can be conducted in SAS by adding the spearman option to the proc corr statement. For the Table 18.2 data, we obtain  $r_s = 0.763$ , P = 0.0102. See SAS output below showing the Spearman section.

|   | SAS Output        |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Spearman Correlation Coefficients, N = 10<br>Prob >  r  under HO: Rho=0 |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | seplen            | sepwid            |  |  |  |  |  |
| seplen  | 1.00000           | 0.76308<br>0.0102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| sepwid  | 0.76308<br>0.0102 | 1.00000           |  |  |  |  |  |

# 18.5 Problems

1. An entomologist is interested in variation in eye and head size for leafcutting ants (Moser et al. 2004). A microscope is used to measure the width of the head (mm), and the surface area of the eyes and ocelli (mm). The following data were obtained for the females of one species (*Atta sexdens*).

| Head | $\sqrt{\mathrm{Eye}}$ | $\sqrt{\text{Ocelli}}$ | Head | $\sqrt{\mathrm{Eye}}$ | $\sqrt{\text{Ocelli}}$ |
|------|-----------------------|------------------------|------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 4.1  | 0.660                 | 0.311                  | 3.8  | 0.633                 | 0.290                  |
| 4.1  | 0.651                 | 0.301                  | 3.9  | 0.659                 | 0.293                  |
| 4.1  | 0.614                 | 0.287                  | 4.0  | 0.633                 | 0.287                  |
| 4.1  | 0.668                 | 0.301                  | 4.1  | 0.614                 | 0.295                  |
| 4.0  | 0.659                 | 0.298                  | 4.2  | 0.678                 | 0.295                  |
| 4.1  | 0.659                 | 0.306                  | 4.2  | 0.668                 | 0.292                  |
| 4.1  | 0.678                 | 0.311                  | 4.1  | 0.668                 | 0.304                  |
| 4.0  | 0.668                 | 0.311                  | 4.2  | 0.678                 | 0.298                  |
| 4.0  | 0.601                 | 0.285                  | 4.2  | 0.678                 | 0.286                  |
| 3.9  | 0.651                 | 0.288                  | 3.9  | 0.646                 | 0.295                  |
| 4.1  | 0.678                 | 0.303                  | 4.0  | 0.633                 | 0.295                  |
| 4.1  | 0.665                 | 0.298                  | 4.1  | 0.659                 | 0.295                  |
| 4.2  | 0.668                 | 0.306                  | 4.0  | 0.646                 | 0.296                  |
| 4.0  | 0.668                 | 0.306                  | 4.1  | 0.655                 | 0.298                  |
| 4.1  | 0.678                 | 0.306                  | 4.0  | 0.659                 | 0.290                  |
| 4.0  | 0.659                 | 0.301                  | 4.1  | 0.678                 | 0.298                  |
| 3.9  | 0.659                 | 0.298                  | 4.1  | 0.678                 | 0.301                  |
| 4.1  | 0.678                 | 0.304                  | 4.1  | 0.668                 | 0.298                  |
| 4.2  | 0.668                 | 0.299                  | 4.1  | 0.659                 | 0.295                  |
| 4.1  | 0.659                 | 0.304                  | 4.2  | 0.678                 | 0.301                  |
| 4.1  | 0.665                 | 0.301                  | 3.9  | 0.687                 | 0.296                  |
| 4.2  | 0.665                 | 0.307                  | 4.0  | 0.614                 | 0.293                  |
| 4.1  | 0.651                 | 0.306                  | 4.1  | 0.668                 | 0.298                  |
| 4.2  | 0.659                 | 0.293                  | 4.3  | 0.678                 | 0.304                  |
| 4.1  | 0.659                 | 0.301                  | 4.1  | 0.646                 | 0.297                  |
| 4.0  | 0.659                 | 0.301                  | 4.2  | 0.655                 | 0.301                  |

- (a) Calculate all pairwise correlations among these variables using SAS. Interpret the results of this analysis, providing a P value and discussing the significance of the test. Provide a biological explanation for the positive correlations among these variables.
- (b) Test whether each of the pairwise correlations is significantly different from 0.2.
- (c) Calculate all pairwise Spearman rank correlations using SAS. Interpret the results of this analysis.

## 18.6 References

- Fisher, R. A. (1936) The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. Annals of Eugenics 7: 179188.
- Hollander, M., Wolfe, D. A., & Chicken, E. (2014) Nonparametric Statistical Methods, Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ.
- Moser, J. C., Reeve, J. D., Bento, J. M. S., Della Lucia, T. M. C., Cameron, R. S. & Heck, N. M. (2004) Eye size and behaviour of day- and nightflying leafcutting ant alates. *Journal of Zoology* 264: 69-75.
- SAS Institute Inc. (2014a) SAS/GRAPH 9.4: Reference, Third Edition. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- SAS Institute Inc. (2014b) Base SAS 9.4 Procedures Guide: Statistical Procedures, Third Edition. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA
- Stuart, A., Ord, J. K. & Arnold, S. (1999) Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics. Oxford University Press Inc., New York, NY.

608